UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/550,001	05/22/2006	Tomoyuki Asano	09792909-6374	1232
26263 7590 04/21/2008 SONNENSCHEIN NATH & ROSENTHAL LLP P.O. BOX 061080			EXAMINER	
			LAFORGIA, CHRISTIAN A	
WACKER DRIVE STATION, SEARS TOWER CHICAGO, IL 60606-1080		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		2139		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/21/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.		Applicant(s)	
10/550,001		ASANO, TOMOYUKI	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
		7411 01111	

	Official Ear orgia	2100
The MAILING DATE of this communication	n appears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address
THE REPLY FILED <u>27 March 2008</u> FAILS TO PLACE TI	HIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION	FOR ALLOWANCE.
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to application, applicant must timely file one of the foll application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with periods:	lowing replies: (1) an amendment, af of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compli	fidavit, or other evidence, which places the ance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the	e mailing date of the final rejection.	
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date on event, however, will the statutory period for reply Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box	expire later than SIX MONTHS from the r	nailing date of the final rejection.
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offi may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1 NOTICE OF APPEAL	ne date on which the petition under 37 CF od of extension and the corresponding an of the shortened statutory period for repl ice later than three months after the maili	nount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee y originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in	n compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 mus	st be filed within two months of the date of
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or an Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be AMENDMENTS	ny extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e	e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a
<ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejet</li> <li>They raise new issues that would require furt</li> <li>They raise the issue of new matter (see NOT</li> </ol>	ther consideration and/or search (see	
(c) They are not deemed to place the application appeal; and/or	n in better form for appeal by materia	
(d) They present additional claims without cance  NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.	33(a)).	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CI		
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject		
<ul> <li>6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would non-allowable claim(s).</li> <li>7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment</li> </ul>		
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment how the new or amended claims would be rejected The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1.3-5.7.8.10.11 and 13-15. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		g will be entered and an explanation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE		
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final act because applicant failed to provide a showing of go was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>		
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of entered because the affidavit or other evidence fail showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary.</li> </ol>	ed to overcome all rejections under a	appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An exp REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	lanation of the status of the claims at	ter entry is below or attached.
<ol> <li>The request for reconsideration has been consideration See Continuation Sheet.</li> </ol>	ered but does NOT place the applicat	ion in condition for allowance because:
<ul><li>12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Stateme</i></li><li>13. ☐ Other:</li></ul>	ent(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)	
	/Christian LaForgia	a/
	Primary Examiner,	

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's amendments filed 27 March 2008, with respect to claim 15 have been fully considered and overcome the 35 U.S.C. 101 rejection. The 35 U.S.C. 101 rejection of claim 15 has been withdrawn.

The Examiner disagrees with the Applicant's arguments that the prior art does not teach disabling a process of playing back content of an information storage medium when an associated ID of the ISM is identical to a revoked ISM ID listed in a memory of an information processing apparatus. As cited in the previous office action, paragraph 0185, as well as claims 3 and 4, disclose disabling operations on the medium when the rights do not pass, wherein said rights include checking whether the ID is on any revocation lists. Since the prior art teaches disabling a process of playing back content of an information storage medium when an associated ID of the ISM is identical to a revoked ISM ID listed in a memory of an information processing apparatus, the rejections are therefore maintained.

The Applicant argues that the prior art reference teaches that the revocation list is updated on the medium. The Examiner holds that updating the list based on the conditions listed in the claim is taught regardless of which direction the updating is being performed. U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2002/0184259 A1 discloses at paragraphs 0566 to 0575 that the updating a revocation list based on the conditions listed in the claims can occur in either direction and that it is merely a design choice. Since the prior art shows at least updating the revocation list based on the same conditions listed in the claim, the rejections are maintained...